

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1125 HB	Title: Sentence modification	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Corey Patton	Phone: 360-786-7388	Date: 01/15/2025
Agency Preparation: Devon Dieckman	Phone: 3607044020	Date: 02/11/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/11/2025
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

198,829.00

Request # 087-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 3 adds a new section to 9.94A that allows for individuals who committed offenses as minors and are serving a term of total confinement to petition the court for resentencing. Those who qualify for this are defined in subsections A-H. In allowing these individuals to petition the court this would cause impact to the courts as this would increase caseloads, require management of petitions from Judicial Offices and Court Staff, and increased resentencing hearings.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

none

II. C - Expenditures

Impact is Indeterminate but significant for both the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Superior Courts (Counties)

All of the data below is based on the following assumptions.

- 1. Eligible Cases are mentioned in the year they become eligible starting in FY27 going through FY33
- 2. Numbers assume 100% utilization (high) and 33% utilization (low)
- 3. Resentencing Hearings take an estimated time of 4 hours (240min)
- 4. Costs to the AOC and Superior Court are based upon Standard Costs for Judicial Officers and the Staff needed to support their work.
 - a. AOC bears the cost of 50% of Superior court Judges Salaries and 100% of their benefits

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS:

Section 3 allows for minors who are serving a term of total confinement may be eligible for resentencing if they qualify under subsections A-H. These costs cannot be determined as utilization of resentencing cannot be known. As such the impact to the AOC will be presented as a range over the course of Fiscal Years 27-32.

The Total Costs for this period could be as high as \$2,159,691 or as low as \$711,894 with the total hearings being 3328 (High) or 1097 (low).

The Costs and cases spread out by Fiscal Year are as follows with the High Value first and Low Value Second:

FY27: \$86,310/133Hearings, \$28,554/44Hearings
FY28: \$258,929/399Hearings, \$85,012/131Hearings
FY29: \$299,813/462Hearings, \$98,640/152Hearings
FY30: \$317,334/489Hearings, \$104,480/161Hearings
FY31: \$396,506/611Hearings, \$131,087/202Hearings
FY32: \$430,900/664Hearings, \$142,119/219Hearings
FY33: \$369,899/570Hearings, \$122,002/188Hearings

COUNTIES:

Section 3 allows for minors who are serving a term of total confinement may be eligible for resentencing if they qualify under subsections A-H. These costs cannot be determined as utilization of resentencing cannot be known. As such the impact to the AOC will be presented as a range over the course of Fiscal Years 27-32.

The Total Costs for this period could be as high as \$10,629,652 or as low as \$3,503,824 with the total hearings being 3328 (High) or 1097 (low).

The Costs and cases spread out by Fiscal Year are as follows with the High Value first and Low Value Second:

FY27: \$424,8803/133Hearings, \$140,536/44Hearings
FY28: \$1,274,408/399Hearings, \$418,415/131Hearings
FY29: \$1,475,631/462Hearings, \$485,489/152Hearings
FY30: \$1,561,869/489Hearings, \$514,235/161Hearings
FY31: \$1,951,538/611Hearings, \$645,189/202Hearings
FY32: \$2,120,820/664Hearings, \$699,487/219Hearings

198,829.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE